

2009 SIFE USA National Exposition Individual Team Evaluation Sheet

INSTITUTION NAME: UNIVERSITY X

Please offer insights below on how effectively the team met the SIFE criteria. They will receive and use this feedback later to help improve their programs. For each point listed under every judging criterion below, place an "X" OR check mark in the box that best matches your sentiments regarding the team's performance. Be sure to also assign an overall rating in the box to the right of each criterion. Finally, we strongly encourage you to provide written feedback in the Comments sections. Note that this is the ONLY formal feedback the teams receive from judges so please take the time to complete the entire form as thoroughly as possible.

HOW EFFECTIVELY DID THE SIFE TEAM MEASURE AND DEMONSTRATE THAT IT CREATED ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY FOR OTHERS BY HELPING THEM (applicable to criteria 1-6):

Criterion 1: Understand how a market-based economic system operates.

	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Addressed a definable need			X	
Transferred valuable knowledge on Market Economics to others		X		
Created meaningful economic opportunities for others		X		
Achieved measurable impact	X			

Comments:
Great, comprehensive project that addresses multiple criteria. However, effectiveness and impact on Market Economics topic was not clearly articulated or measured.

OVERALL RATING: Place an "X" or check mark in only ONE of the following categories and then transfer a numerical value within that range onto the Cumulative Score Sheet

Outstanding (16-20) Good (11-15)
 Average (6-10) Poor (0-5)

Criterion 2: Acquire the education and skills training needed to succeed in a dynamic, competitive global economy.

	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Addressed a definable need				X
Transferred valuable knowledge on Success Skills to others			X	
Created meaningful economic opportunities for others			X	
Achieved measurable impact				X

Comments:
Great results and measurement tools used! Projects had clear focus on this criterion!

OVERALL RATING: Place an "X" or check mark in only ONE of the following categories and then transfer a numerical value within that range onto the Cumulative Score Sheet

Outstanding (16-20) Good (11-15)
 Average (6-10) Poor (0-5)

Criterion 3: Learn how to succeed as an entrepreneur and/or improve an existing business.

	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Addressed a definable need		X		
Transferred valuable knowledge on Entrepreneurship to others			X	
Created meaningful economic opportunities for others		X		
Achieved measurable impact			X	

Comments:
An older target group would have been more appropriate for this topic. Consider a more relevant group in the future, with greater potential for direct impact.

OVERALL RATING: Place an "X" or check mark in only ONE of the following categories and then transfer a numerical value within that range onto the Cumulative Score Sheet

Outstanding (16-20) Good (11-15)
 Average (6-10) Poor (0-5)

Criterion 4: Develop the personal financial management skills necessary to achieve financial independence.

	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Addressed a definable need			X	
Transferred valuable knowledge on Financial Literacy to others			X	
Created meaningful economic opportunities for others			X	
Achieved measurable impact		X		

Comments:
The attention dedicated to this topic in the project's presented was significant and in depth, though not enough time yet to maximize impact.

OVERALL RATING: Place an "X" or check mark in only ONE of the following categories and then transfer a numerical value within that range onto the Cumulative Score Sheet

Outstanding (16-20) Good (11-15)
 Average (6-10) Poor (0-5)

Criterion 5: Make environmentally sustainable personal and business decisions.

	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Addressed a definable need			X	
Transferred valuable knowledge on Environmental Sustainability to others			X	
Created meaningful economic opportunities for others		X		
Achieved measurable impact		X		

Comments:
Seems that your project is still in its initial phases - consider identifying and working with experts in the field - they may be able to offer advice on how to better create more meaningful learning opportunities and to achieve measurable results. Nevertheless, a job well done on linking such a suitable audience with this topic - the first phase seems to have been completely successful & the results are commendable. Project definitely needs more substance in the future phases.

OVERALL RATING: Place an "X" or check mark in only ONE of the following categories and then transfer a numerical value within that range onto the Cumulative Score Sheet

Outstanding (16-20) Good (11-15)
 Average (6-10) Poor (0-5)

Criterion 6: Understand that the long-term success and prosperity of a market economy, businesses, and individuals are dependent on ethical business practices.

	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Addressed a definable need		X		
Transferred valuable knowledge on Business Ethics to others	X			
Created meaningful economic opportunities for others	X			
Achieved measurable impact	X			

Comments:
It seems like little time was dedicated to this topic in your projects. There was no clearly defined objective or impact in this area. You may consider a more focused project for this topic as opposed to squeezing it in as a small element of a project primarily designed for another criterion. Poor quality, but you did achieve some impact.

OVERALL RATING: Place an "X" or check mark in only ONE of the following categories and then transfer a numerical value within that range onto the Cumulative Score Sheet

Outstanding (16-20) Good (11-15)
 Average (6-10) Poor (0-5)

Criterion 7: HOW SUCCESSFULLY DID THE TEAM DEVELOP AND EXECUTE A STRATEGY TO ENSURE THE LONG-TERM SUSTAINABILITY OF THEIR TEAM AND EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS.

	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Developed an excellent strategy				X
Effectively executed the strategy				X
Team has foundation for continuation and smooth transition				X
Projects have foundation for continuation and/or expansion			X	

Comments:
Great involvement from your project sponsors and supporters! Your team strategy seems well-developed and has proved effective thus far - fantastic job! The projects that you plan to continue seem to have a clear, defined path.

OVERALL RATING: Place an "X" or check mark in only ONE of the following categories and then transfer a numerical value within that range onto the Cumulative Score Sheet

Outstanding (16-20) Good (11-15)
 Average (6-10) Poor (0-5)

Suggestions for Improvement/Overall Comments:

In this area, please provide valuable general insights and suggestions related to the team's projects and activities.

- GREAT JOB IN PRESENTING BOTH OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES RELATED TO YOUR PROJECTS!

- SUGGESTION: PLEASE CONSIDER INVOLVING EXPERTS IN RELEVANT AREAS TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFO TO DEVELOP EVEN STRONGER PROJECTS!

*In this section, please offer any constructive feedback regarding the team's annual report and audiovisual presentation.

- ANNUAL REPORT: WELL-ORGANIZED, EASY TO UNDERSTAND, BUT TOO CLUTTERED. TRY TO SUMMARIZE YOUR PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES IN A MORE CONCISE & EFFECTIVE MANNER, WITHOUT IT BEING TOO WORDY.

- VISUAL: GREAT VISUAL PRESENTATION! PRESENTERS SEEMED VERY NATURAL AND POISED. NICE JOB IN "CONNECTING THE DOTS" FOR THE JUDGES, MAKING IT EASY FOR US TO UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU HAVE ACCOMPLISHED - AS WELL AS PLANS TO ACCOMPLISH WITH CONTINUING PROJECTS!

*These comments have no direct impact on the scoring process of the team. They are strictly being provided as recommendations the team can consider for future improvement.

SAMPLE

SAMPLE